



**TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
2013 COUNTY HOG ABATEMENT MATCHING PROGRAM (CHAMP)
2013 PROPOSAL EVALUATION SHEET**

TODD STAPLES, COMMISSIONER

Review #:

PROJECT INFORMATION				
Applicant: «Title_of_Project»	Project No.: «Project_Number»			
PLEASE RATE THE FOLLOWING AREAS FROM 1-4, WITH 4 BEING THE HIGHEST SCORE.				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Rate how well the project is designed, the clarity of the objectives and alignment with the purpose of the grant program.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives & targets are well thought out and in alignment with the grant's purpose(4); - Objectives & targets are sound and will likely provide positive results in alignment with the grant's purpose (3); - Objectives & targets are questionable and may not provide the most benefit (2); - Objectives & targets are unclear and results are questionable and/or the project is not in alignment with the purpose of TDA's grant program (1). 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Rate the positive impact this project will have to agriculture and the environment in Texas.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This project is <u>likely</u> to have a positive on agriculture and the environment in Texas (4); - This project has <u>potential</u> to have a positive impact (3); - This project <u>may or may not</u> have a positive impact (2); - This project is <u>unlikely</u> to have a positive on agriculture and the environment in Texas (1). 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Rate the sustainability of the project.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This project is a continuation of a current program and is <u>highly likely</u> to be sustained after grant funds expire (4); - This project has <u>potential</u> to be sustained after grant funds expire (3); - This project's sustainability is <u>questionable</u> (2); - This project is highly <u>unlikely</u> to be sustained after grant funds expire (1). 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Rate the level of support this project demonstrates; are partners actively involved or have they pledged their support of the project's goals?</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Supported by 4 or more partners who are committed to the success of the project(4); - Supported by 2-3 partners (3); - Supported by 1 partner (2); - No support indicated or confirmed, other than applying organization (1). 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Rate the need demonstrated by the applicant .</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The county has demonstrated a strong need (4); - The county has demonstrated a need (3) - The county has demonstrated a need (2); - The county has not adequately demonstrated a need (1). 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rate the level of funding match. 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rate the reasonableness of the requested budget. 				
	1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rate the overall value of the proposed project 				
	1	2	3	4

